RESEARCH





Antibiotics and antibiotic-associated diarrhea: a real-world disproportionality study of the FDA adverse event reporting system from 2004 to 2022

Haining Huang¹, Lanfang Li¹, Mingli Wu¹, Zhen Liu¹, Yanyan Zhao¹, Jing Peng^{1*}, Xiaolei Ren^{2*} and Shuai Chen^{3*}

Abstract

Background Our study aimed to assess the risk signals of antibiotic-associated diarrhea (AAD) caused by various antibiotics using real-world data and provide references for safe clinical applications.

Methods We analyzed data extracted from the FDA Adverse Event Reporting System (FAERS) database, covering the period from the first quarter of 2004 to the third quarter of 2022. We computed the reporting odds ratio (ROR) for each antibiotic or antibiotic class to compare the signal difference. Furthermore, we also examined the differences in the onset times and outcomes of AAD caused by various antibiotics.

Results A total of 5,397 reports met the inclusion requirements. Almost all antibiotics, except tobramycin and minocycline (ROR 0.98; 95%Cl: 0.64–1.51 and 0.42; 95%Cl: 0.16–1.11, respectively), showed a significant correlation with AAD. The analysis of the correlation between different classes of antibiotics and AAD revealed that lincomycins (ROR 29.19; 95%Cl: 27.06–31.50), third-generation cephalosporins (ROR 15.96; 95%Cl: 14.58–17.47), and first/second generation cephalosporins (ROR 15.29; 95%Cl: 13.74–17.01) ranked the top three. The ROR values for antibiotics from the same class of antibiotics also varied greatly, with the ROR values for third-generation cephalosporins ranging from 9.97 to 58.59. There were also differences in ROR values between β -lactamase inhibitors and their corresponding β -lactamase drugs, such as amoxicillin-clavulanate (ROR=13.31; 95%Cl: 12.09–14.65) and amoxicillin (ROR=6.50; 95%Cl: 5.69–7.44). 91.35% of antibiotics have an onset time of less than four weeks.

Conclusions There is a significant correlation between almost all antibiotics and AAD, particularly lincomycins and β -lactam antibiotics, as well as a different correlation within the same class. These findings offer valuable evidence for selecting antibiotics appropriately.

Keywords Antibiotics, Antibiotic-associated diarrhea, The US food and drug administration adverse event reporting system, Pharmacovigilance, Data mining

*Correspondence: Jing Peng pengjinghe2008@163.com Xiaolei Ren xiaolei.ren@qq.com Shuai Chen chenshuai@mail.jnmc.edu.cn Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.gr/licenses/by/4.00. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.gr/licenses/by/4.00. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedicated in a credit line to the data.

Background

Antibiotic-associated diarrhea (AAD) is defined as diarrhea brought on by taking antibiotics, either while taking them or for up to 8 weeks after antibiotics discontinuation [1]. The excessive use of broad-spectrum antibiotics disrupts the balance of healthy gut bacteria and leads to AAD. Approximately 5%~35% of patients suffer from AAD after receiving antibiotics [2]. The incidence rate of AAD shows an upward trend due to the widespread use of antibiotics. AAD is frequently caused by various pathogenic bacteria, with Clostridium difficile (CD) being responsible for almost one-third of AAD cases [3, 4]. Moreover, a recent meta-analysis comprising 5,496 patients revealed that CD is responsible for 20% of AAD cases among hospitalized patients [5].

CD is a gram-positive, spore-forming, toxin-producing bacillus that is part of the commensal intestinal flora and is widespread in the natural environment [6]. Overuse of some antibiotics can speed up the growth rate of CD, which can influence other bacteria in the gastrointestinal system, leading to inflammation. C. difficile can multiply from either endogenous or exogenous sources once there is an imbalance in the types of organisms present in a person's natural gut flora, known as gut dysbiosis. Clostridium difficile infection (CDI) is a major cause of nosocomial infections, particularly in developed countries [7]. In Europe, where the number of cases is estimated to be 124,000 per year, C. difficile ranked as the sixth most common microorganism causing healthcareassociated infections in the European Prevalence Study of 2016-2017 [8]. The burden of C. difficile also extends to the community, with an estimated 51.9 community-associated infections per 100,000 people attributed to CDI [9]. Pediatric patients who develop postoperative CDI in urologic surgery experience longer hospital stays, higher readmission rates, and increased rates of non-CDI complications [10]. The symptoms of CDI range from mild to profuse diarrhea, severe colitis, and in rare cases, toxic megacolon [4, 11]. Antibiotic exposure, older age, and hospitalization are significant patient-related risk factors for CDI [12, 13]. While almost all antibiotics can lead to CDI, broad-spectrum penicillins and cephalosporins, clindamycin, and fluoroquinolones have a higher risk of inducing CDI [14–16].

The excessive and inappropriate use of antibiotics and the emergence of novel antibiotics in recent years has resulted in an increase in the global incidence rate and severity of AAD. Therefore, further research is needed to assess the relationship between antibiotics and AAD. The current studies are mainly based on retrospective research, while only a few studies using data-mining techniques have specifically examined the reporting correlation between partial antibiotics and AAD [17]. The FDA Adverse Event Reporting System (FAERS) is a database for voluntarily and spontaneously reporting adverse drug effects occurring after marketing [18]. In this study we aimed to extract all reports of AAD following the use of antibiotics from 2004 to September 2022 from the FAERS database, especially for novel antibiotics that have emerged in recent years, and analyzed signals of AAD from all antibiotics or antibiotic classes based on disproportionality analysis. Notably, our study also analyzed the onset times and outcomes of AAD induced by various antibiotics, which have not been previously reported.

Methods

Data source

Data was gathered through retrospective pharmacovigilance research using the FAERS database for the first quarter of 2004 to the third quarter of 2021. FAERS is a database that contains adverse event reports, information on medication errors, and product quality concerns that result in adverse events and is intended to enhance the FDA's post-marketing oversight of chemical pharmaceuticals and biological goods. FAERS data contains demographic characteristics, drug information (drug name, active ingredient, drug dose, drug frequency, duration of use), patient outcomes, reporter information, and reaction information.

Data mining

The search strategy was that within the FAERS database we specified a "Search by Reaction T erm" and looked up the preferred terms (PTs) and then downloaded the raw data. Python (version 3.8) and Postgresql (version 14) were used to clean and normalize FAERS data [19]. All drug-related terms were standardized as drug ingredient names according to RxNorm, and adverse events (AEs) were standardized according to the preferred terms (PTs) and system organ classes (SOCs) in the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA) V 24.0. We looked into the relationships between different antibiotics and AAD using the reporting odds ratio (ROR), which is based on the principles of disproportionality analysis. A two-by-two contingency table (Table 1) of reported event counts for a specific drug and other drugs was created to calculate ROR. The calculation and criteria of the algorithm are as follows: Table 1 [18, 20, 21]. AAD cases were recognized by searching using the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities (MedDRA) (version 24.1), and PTs were displayed in Table 2. The drug role code was identified as the primary suspect drug (PS) in the entire dataset.

In addition, we extracted the onset times for all involved antibiotics and calculated the onset times in groups. We also extracted the onset times of most
 Table 1
 Two-by-two
 contingency
 table
 for
 disproportional analysis

	AAD	All other adverse drug reactions	Total
antibiotics	а	b	a+b
Other drugs	С	d	c+d
Total	a+c	b+d	a+b+c+d

ROR=ad/bc, 95%CI= $e^{\ln(ROR)} \pm 1.96 \sqrt{\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} + \frac{1}{d}}$ (criteria: the lower 95%CI>1,a ≥ 3)

ROR Reporting odds ratio, AAD Antibiotic-associated diarrhea.

 $\label{eq:table_$

PTs-code	PTs-name
10,009,657	Clostridium difficile colitis
10,037,128	Pseudomembranous colitis
10,052,815	Antibiotic associated colitis
10,058,305	Clostridium colitis
10,058,852	Clostridium bacteraemia
10,061,043	Clostridial infection
10,070,027	Clostridium test positive
10,078,496	Clostridial sepsis

PTs, the preferred terms

common antibiotics from these of all involved antibiotics and the onset times were shown individually as median (Q1, Q3). The period between the beginning of antibiotic use and the occurrence of AE is used to calculate the onset times [22]. Severe outcomes events induced by antibiotics included "Death" (grade 5), "Life-Threatening" (grade 4), "Disability" (grade 4) and Congenital Anomaly "(grade 4) [23, 24]. "Hospitalization-Intial or Prolonged" (grade 3) was considered to be moderate outcomes events, and the rest was classified as mild outcomes events. The proportion of reports with severe outcomes was computed by dividing the number of severe outcomes events by the total number of outcomes events. The mortality rate of AAD was calculated by dividing the number of death outcomes events by the total number of reports.

Statistical analysis

The clinical characteristics of patients with AAD derived from the FAERS database were summarized using categorical variables presented as frequency and percentage. A quartile assay was used to display the onset times of AAD. A disproportionality analysis was carried out by counting ROR and a corresponding 95% confidence interval (CI) for the relationship between AAD and drugs [25]. The lower limit of the 95% CI above 1.0 was considered to be statistically significant [25]. A higher ROR suggested a stronger reporting association between AAD and drugs.

Results

Descriptive analysis

FAERS database from 2004 to September 2022 contains 18,362,208 AE reports. After data cleaning and matching, 6,895,638 AE reports were included in future research. 5397 reports of AAD following the use of antibiotics were acquired (Fig. 1). The clinical characteristics of these patients were summarized in Table 3. Patients over 65 years old have a larger proportion than other age groups (43.21% vs. 34.59%, 17.92%, 4.28%). Females accounted for a larger proportion than males in all reports (51.49% vs. 36.85%). Cases were mainly reported by the physician (31.52%) and health-professional (22.48%), and were mostly from North America (48.36%), and Europe (37.54%). The number of reported cases remained stable at around 200 cases from 2004 to 2012, while more cases were reported from 2013 and the number of reported cases in 2019 is the highest.

Disproportionality analysis

We analyzed signals of AAD from all antibiotics based on the criteria for ROR and recorded the results in Fig. 2 (A, B). Our findings revealed that almost all antibiotics have a correlation with AAD except for tobramycin (ROR 0.98; 95%CI: 0.64-1.51) and minocycline (ROR 0.42; 95%CI: 0.16-1.11). Cefditoren (ROR=58.59; 95%CI: 45.68-75.15), cephradine (ROR=42.06; 95%CI: 12.90-137.14), lincomycin (ROR=41.65; 95%CI: 21.05-82.39) had a higher ROR. The ROR values of β - lactamase inhibitors were different from corresponding β - lactamase drugs, such as amoxicillin-clavulanate (ROR=13.31; 95%CI: 12.09-14.65) and amoxicillin (ROR=6.50; 95%CI: 5.69-7.44), ampicillin-sulbactam (ROR=20.32; 95%CI: 14.97-27.59) and ampicillin (ROR=8.60; 95%CI: 5.32-13.90), ceftazidime-avibactam (ROR=3.32; 95%CI: 1.24-8.87) and ceftazidime (ROR = 15.29; 95%CI: 10.63-22.00).

The results of cephradine (ROR=42.06, 95%CI: 12.90–137.14) and floxacillin (ROR=34.92, 95%CI: 12.64–96.48) were too large to display perfectly in the forest plots. As demonstrated in Fig. 2, antibiotics were categorized into the following groups: first/second-generation cephalosporins, third-generation cephalosporins, fourth-generation cephalosporins, novel cephalosporins, fluoroquinolones, erythromycins, amino-glycosides, tetracyclines, other antibiotics, antifungal drugs, and the ROR values were calculated by category. The analysis of the correlation between different antibiotics classes and AAD showed the following ranking:



Fig. 1 Flow diagram of data extraction and cleaning

lincomycins (ROR=29.19; 95%CI: 27.06-31.50)>thirdgeneration cephalosporins (ROR = 15.96;95%CI: 14.58–17.47) > first/second generation cephalosporins (ROR = 15.29; 95%CI: 13.74–17.01) > β- lactamase inhibitors (ROR = 14.30; 95%CI: 13.28-15.40) > carbapenems (ROR = 12.44; 95%CI: 10.93-14.17) > fourth-generation cephalosporins (ROR = 12.11; 95%CI: 8.92-16.46) > pen-(ROR = 10.33;icillins 95%CI: 9.60–11.13) > fluoroquinolones (ROR = 6.42; 95%CI: 6.05-6.82) > novel cephalosporins (ROR=5.95; 95%CI: 2.96-11.95)>erythromycins (ROR=3.52; 95%CI: 3.15–3.95)>tetracyclines (ROR = 2.33; 95%CI: 1.90–2.86) > aminoglycosides (ROR = 1.99; 95%CI: 1.57–2.54).

Time to onset of AAD

The onset times of AAD for all involved antibiotics are summarized in Table 4 and the onset times of AAD induced by part antibiotic was shown as median (Q1, Q3) separately in additional files 1: Table S1. The number of AAD reports with an onset time less than one week was 1336 (52.47%) and the number with an onset time from one week to four weeks was 990 (38.88%), of

Table 3 Clinical characteristics of target patients with AAD

Reports, n (%)		
231 (4.28%)		
1867 (34.59%)		
2332 (43.21%)		
967 (17.92%)		
1989 (36.85%)		
2779 (51.49%)		
629 (11.65%)		
1054 (19.53%)		
14 (0.26%)		
1213 (22.48%)		
885 (16.40%)		
1701 (31.52%)		
530 (9.82%)		
25 (0.46%)		
329 (6.10%)		
2026 (37.54%)		
2610 (48.36%)		
18 (0.33%)		
49 (0.91)		
340 (6.30%)		
207 (3.84%)		
210 (3.89%)		
231 (4.28%)		
206 (3.82%)		
266 (4.93%)		
294 (5.45%)		
224 (4.15%)		
205 (3.80%)		
172 (3.19%)		
352 (6.52%)		
294 (5.45%)		
324 (6.00%)		
306 (5.67%)		
313 (5.80%)		
352 (6.52%)		
440 (8.15%)		
322 (5 97%)		
414 (7.67%)		
265 (4.91%)		

AAD Antibiotic-associated diarrhea, n Number of reports

which 91.35% were reported with an onset time less than 4 weeks. The median time to onset was within 14 days for most antibiotics except for doxycycline (15 days).

The shortest median time to onset was 3 (2-10) days for cefoperazone-sulbactam and 3 (1-6) days for cefazolin, respectively.

Outcomes due to AAD

To evaluate the prognosis of patients with AAD induced by antibiotics, we calculated the proportion of each outcome event and the mortality rate, and the results were shown in Table 5, Fig. 3, and additional files 1: Table S2. Our results indicated that serious cases account for 23.8% of all AAD cases, while mild and moderate cases account for the majority of all AAD cases. In the antibiotic class, novel cephalosporins had the highest mortality rate (37.5%), and the lowest for lincomycins (6.9%). In all antibiotics, chloramphenicol (66.7%), cefiderocol (66.7%), lincomycin (55.6%) and ceftazidime-avibactam (50.0%) had the highest mortality rate, and the lowest for cefadroxil, floxacillin pazufloxacin mesilate, tetracycline, minocycline, rifabutin (0.0%).

Discussion

In recent years, AAD has become a global concern due to the emergence of highly virulent strains and the widespread use of antibiotics such as clindamycin and cephalosporins [26]. Studies have shown that the incidence rate of AAD is increasing every year in China and other countries. Research on AAD and CDI has gained attention recently, with findings supporting the strong relationship between AAD and CD [27]. Clostridium difficile is a major cause of infectious diarrhea during antibiotic administration. Given the constant development and widespread use of novel antibiotics, understanding the connection between antibiotics and AAD is crucial.

However, the previous real-world study conducted from 2015 to 2017 only included a small number of antibiotics [17]. As a result, the data needs to be updated. Our study screened more reports of AAD following the use of antibiotics from 2004 to September 2022, including novel antibiotics in recent years. Above all, the onset times and outcomes of AAD induced by various antibiotics have not been previously reported.

Our study represents the largest data collection of realworld research to date, using data collected in the FAERS database to examine differences in AAD produced by various antibiotics in terms of correlation, onset time, prognosis, and more. Our findings showed that patients aged 65 years or older had a higher ROR value, indicating that their probability of developing AAD was higher. We hypothesized that the reason why elderly individuals are more susceptible to AAD may be due to a weakened immune response, resulting in a poorer ability to produce a serum IgG antitoxin A antibody response to C [28]. Additionally, females accounted for a larger proportion

A.

antibiotic classes/antibiotics					ROR	95%C1	AAD Reports	All Reports
First/seccond generation cephalosporins	·····				15.29	13.74-17.01	352	10772
cefuroxime					14.95	12.88-17.36	179	5570
cephalexin					15.44	12.79-18.62	113	3399
cefadroxil	·····				11.47	5.10-25.79	6	242
cefazolin	······				15.46	11.69-20.43	51	1529
Third-generation cephalosporins					15.96	14.58-17.47	497	14639
cefpodoxime					28.46	19.15-42.29	26	423
ceftibuten	····•				9.97	4.11-24.18	5	232
cefdinir			-		33.23	27.56-40.07	118	1649
cefixime	······				17.47	11.98-25.49	28	742
ceftriaxone					10.4	9.01-12.00	193	8638
cefotaxime	······				11.51	7.61-17.41	23	925
ceftazidime		-			15.29	10.63-22.00	30	915
cefditoren			.		58.59	45.68-75.15	70	554
Fourth-generation cephalosporins	·····				12.11	8.92-16.46	42	1606
cefepime					12.11	8.92-16.46	42	1606
Novel cephalosporins						2.96-11.95	8	622
cefiderocol					14.02	4.44-44.22	3	99
ceftaroline fosamil					5.06	2.10-12.22	5	457
Penicillins					10.33	9.60-11.13	737	33762
amoxicillin					6.5	5.69-7.44	219	15788
ampicillin	····				8.6	5.32-13.90	17	921
nafcillin	· • • • •				7.9	3.74-16.68	7	410
dicloxacillin					9.71	3.09-30.45	3	143
β-lactamase inhibitors						13.28-15.40	742	24587
amoxicillin-clavulanate					13.31	12.09-14.65	436	15480
ampicillin-sulbactam					20.32	14.97-27.59	43	987
piperacillin-tazobactam					17.01	14.94-19.37	237	6541
ceftolozane-tazobactam					7.75	3.46-17.38	6	358
cefoperazone-sulbactam					7.81	3.89-15.72	8	477
ceftazidime-avibactam					3.32	1.24-8.87	4	562
Carbapenems					12.44	10.93-14.17	236	8840
doripenem						4.12-16.70	8	446
ertapenem						9.20-15.65	56	2162
meropenem					15.05	12.43-18.22	109	3362
Imipenem-cilastatin	·····				10.17	7.92-13.06	63	2871
	0 2	D	40	60	80			

B.

biotic classes/antib	iotics	 	ROR	95%C1	AAD Reports Al	Repor
Lincomycins		 	29.19	27.06-31.50	723	11738
clindamycin		 	29.07	26.93-31.38	714	11638
luoroquinolones		 	6.42	6.05-6.82	1145	85314
ciprofloxacin		 	8.74	8.05-9.49	592	31915
gemifloxacin	—	 	6.12	3.74-10.03	16	1209
gatifloxacin		 		5.34-10.75	32	1955
moxifloxacin		 	6.71	5.87-7.67	220	15257
norfloxacin	······	 •	12.97	6.69-25.16	9	321
pazufloxacin		 		0.78-7.51	3	575
ofloxacin	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	 	4.86	2.87-8.23	14	1333
levofloxacin		 	3.68	3.26-4.16	259	32763
Erythromycins		 	3.52	3.15-3.95	306	40473
azithromycin		 	2.89	2.40-3.49	111	17804
erythromycin		 	3.67	2.66-5.08	37	4663
clarithromycin		 	4.54	3.86-5.33	151	15464
telithromycin		 	1.63	0.85-3.15	9	2547
Aminoglycosides		 	1.99	1.57-2.54	66	15323
tobramycin		 	0.98	0.64-1.51	21	9881
amikacin	16-1	 	1.14	0.57-2.29	8	3239
gentamicin		 	7.77	5.62-10.76	37	2204
Tetracyclines		 	2.33	1.90-2.86	92	18311
minocycline	•	 	0.42	0.16-1.11	4	4425
doxycycline		 	2.72	2.15-3.45	69	11750
tetracycline		 	4.41	2.81-6.94	19	1992
Antifungal drugs		 	2.42	2.01-3.25	68	117008
fluconazole		 	3.07	2 36-4 00	56	8438
amphotericin b		 	1.7	0.97-3.00	12	3260
Other antibiotics		 				
aztreonam		 	1 59	1 08-2 33	26	7578
daptomycin		 	2.13	1 49-3 05	30	6528
nitrofurantoin			1.33	0.74-2.41	11	3818
sulfamethoxazole	H	 	3.01	2.33-3.88	60	9242
rifabutin	· • •	 	2.55	0.82-7.92	3	545
fosfomycin	······	 	13.36	8 25-21 64	17	580
chloramphenicol	· · · · ·	 	0.64	3 07-30 24	3	144
tigecycline		 	6.70	4.64.0.02	27	10.42

Fig. 2 ROR value for AAD with antibiotics or antibiotics classes. A. β-lactam antibiotics; B. other antibiotics. ROR, reporting odds ratio; AAD, antibiotic-associated diarrhea; CI, confidence interval

Onset time	AAD Reports (%)
<1 week	1336(52.47%)
1-4 week	990(38.88%)
4-8 week	131(5.15%)
8-12 week	38(1.49%)
>12 week	51(2.00%)

AAD, Antibiotic-associated diarrhea

Table 5 Outcomes events of AAD

Outcomes events	Reports (%)
Death (grade 5)	805(12.03%)
Life-Threatening (grade 4)	532(7.95%)
Disability (grade 4)	253(3.78%)
Congenital Anomaly (grade 4)	3(0.04%)
Hospitalization-Intial or Prolonged(grade3)	4381(65.47%)
Required Intervention to Prevent Permanent (grade 2)	138(2.06%)
Other Serious (Important Medical Event) (grade 1)	580(8.67%)

AAD Antibiotic-associated diarrhea

than males in all reports (51.49% vs. 36.85%). This result suggests that females may be more susceptible to AAD than males, potentially due to differences in gut flora between genders [29]. These findings are consistent with recent research in the relevant literature [17].

The study found that nearly all antibiotics were strongly associated with AAD events, consistent with a clinical retrospective study [30]. When the ROR value was calculated for each antibiotic, cefditoren (ROR=58.59; 95%CI: 45.68-75.15), cephradine (ROR=42.06; 95%CI: 12.90-137.14), and lincomycin (ROR=41.65; 95%CI: 21.05-82.39) had the highest ROR values. When the

ROR value was calculated by antibiotic class, lincomycins (ROR=29.19; 95%CI: 27.06-31.50) had the highest value, with most β -lactam antibiotics having higher ROR values, as described in previous studies [17]. Notably, the study revealed that the rank correlation between the different classes of antibiotics and AAD was as follows: lincomycins > third-generation cephalosporins > first/ second-generation cephalosporins > β -lactamase inhibitors > carbapenems > fourth-generation cephalosporins > penicillins > fluoroquinolones > novel cephalosporins > erythromycins > tetracyclines > aminoglycosides. In a meta-analysis, the ranking was as follows: third-generation cephalosporins > clindamycin > second-generation cephalosporins > fourth-generation cephalosporins > carbapenems > trimethoprim-sulfonamides > fluoroquinolones>penicillin combinations [31]. Third-generation cephalosporins had the highest ROR value compared to β-lactam antibiotics, while new cephalosporins had the lowest. The results were consistent with previous research indicating that broad-spectrum antibiotics such as lincomycin, cephalosporin, and penicillin were more likely to result in AAD [32-34]. This may be due to C. difficile isolates being completely resistant to clindamycin, most cephalosporins, and penicillin. There is no comparison with cephalosporins, although previously published studies suggested that fluoroquinolones were similarly significant risk factors for causing AAD [26, 35, 36]. The probable explanation for this finding is that nearly all fluoroquinolones exhibit a high minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) against C. difficile, thereby leading to a high resistance rate. In our study, ROR for AAD with fluoroquinolones was 6.42, implying that the signal of AAD induced by fluoroquinolones was significantly lower than that of β-lactam antibiotics, which has not been previously reported. We hypothesize that the reason for this may be that fluoroquinolones are not as widely utilized



Fig. 3 Mortality rate for AAD associated with antibiotics

as beta-lactam antibiotics due to their restricted usage to avoid adverse effects.

The ROR value for AAD caused by the same class of antibiotics also varied greatly, with the ROR values for first/second-generation cephalosporins ranging from 11.47 to 42.06, those for third-generation cephalosporins ranging from 9.97 to 58.59, and those for penicillins ranging from 6.50 to 34.92. Therefore, the degree of AAD induced by the same class of antibiotics can differ. These findings provide a strong foundation for choosing antibiotics.

A recent study found that patients treated with enzyme inhibitor antibiotics had a significantly higher incidence of AAD (35.36% vs. 21.43%) than those treated with non-enzyme inhibitor antibiotics (P=0.013) [34, 37]. This could be attributed to the frequent use of enzyme inhibitor antibiotics in the treatment of multidrug-resistant bacteria among critically ill patients who require extended treatment periods. Studies have shown a correlation between the duration of enzyme inhibitor antibiotic therapy and the occurrence of AAD in critically ill patients. Additionally, prolonged use of enzyme inhibitor antibiotics may lead to alterations in the intestinal microbiota, thereby increasing the likelihood of AAD. [34]. Our findings similarly revealed differences in ROR values between β-lactamase inhibitors and their corresponding β-lactamase drugs. For example, amoxicillin-clavulanate (ROR=13.31; 95%CI: 12.09-14.65) and amoxicillin (ROR=6.50; 95%CI: 5.69-7.44), ampicillin-sulbactam (ROR=20.32; 95%CI: 14.97-27.59) and ampicillin (ROR = 8.60; 95%CI: 5.32-13.90), ceftazidimeavibactam (ROR=3.32; 95%CI: 1.24-8.87) and ceftazidime (ROR = 15.29; 95%CI: 10.63-22.00).

The abuse of antibiotics, particularly broad-spectrum antibiotics, is commonly believed to be the main cause of AAD. It is noteworthy that antifungal drugs are also included in broad-spectrum antibiotics. A recent retrospective study has revealed a higher incidence of antifungal-associated diarrhea (AAD) in patients within the intensive care unit who were treated with antifungals. This outcome is likely attributed to the fact that antifungals are commonly administered alongside other antibiotics, increasing the likelihood of inducing AAD [37, 38]. In our study, amphotericin b and fluconazole were the existing antifungals in the antibiotics that met the inclusion criteria. Their ROR values and 95% Cl were (ROR = 1.70, 95%CI: 0.97-3.00) and (ROR = 3.07, 95%CI: 2.36–4.00), respectively, suggesting that these two antifungal drugs were associated with AAD.

The period between drug intake and symptom onset varies but is typically short [39]. A previous study published in 2012 showed that the most contagious times for potential donors to support the transmission of C. difficile were ≤ 1 week (65%), ≤ 4 weeks (82%), and>8 weeks (only 10%) [40]. Our study found that the onset times of AAD associated with all involved antibiotics were ≤ 1 week (52.47%), ≤ 4 weeks (91.35%), and >8 weeks (3.49%), which was consistent with previous study results. Notably, we also examined the separate onset times of each antibiotic, and the result was that the onset times of AAD caused by the same class of drugs also varied. The onset times of AAD induced by cephalosporins ranged from 3 days (cefazolin) to 8.5 days (ceftazidime). This may be due to the varying abilities of different antibiotics to disrupt the intestinal flora or inhibit the activity of C. difficile, resulting in differing periods of AAD onset. We should therefore analyze the onset times of AAD caused by antibiotics separately for each drug.

Most cases of antibiotic-associated diarrhea (AAD) are mild and self-limiting, typically resolving within 5 to 10 days after discontinuing antibiotics therapy. However, one type of AAD called Clostridioides difficile infection (CDI) can result in severe gastrointestinal disease, ranging from diarrhea and fever to colitis, toxic megacolon, multi-organ failure, or death [11]. Hence, it is essential to monitor the prognosis of AAD caused by antibiotics. This study utilized the FAERS database to determine the real-world prognosis of AAD for the first time. The findings indicated that mild and moderate AAD cases constituted the majority of cases, consistent with previous reports [11]. Yet, death due to AAD still occurs, with a high mortality rate associated with C. difficile antibiotic diarrhea (CDAD), particularly in patients over 65 years with underlying or severe diseases [41]. The study discovered that 12.3% of AAD cases were classified as "Death" cases (grade 5). In the United States, CDI has an approximate incidence rate of 453,000 cases and 29,000 deaths in 2011 [9], calculating a mortality rate of 6.4%. The population included in our study came from a variety of countries, some of which had lax antibiotics regulation, which could account for the mortality rate of our research findings. Another finding is that lincomycins had the lowest mortality rate (6.4%) while new cephalosporins had the highest mortality rate (37.5%). This result may be related to the patient's own disease and the management of antibiotics. Novel antibiotics are frequently subject to strict regulations and limited to patients experiencing severe infections. This patient population typically presents with multiple comorbidities, complex diseases, and a high mortality rate.

Limitations

Although the study had advantages in data mining utilizing the FAERS database, it had inherent limitations, such asthe inability to establish whether the drug caused the event [42]. Additionally, some antibiotics could be combined with other drugs, increasing the probability of AAD. Despite these limitations, this study suggests that FAERS serves as a pharmacovigilance tool for alerting individuals to the varying degrees of AAD resulting from different antibiotics.

Conclusion

This study represents the first pharmacovigilance investigation to examine all antibiotics in the FAERS database, identifying potential links between antibiotics and AAD, and comparing the timeframes and outcomes of AAD triggered by different antibiotics.

Our comprehensive and systematic retrospective analysis of the FAERS database revealed a significant correlation between most post-marketing antibiotics and AAD and a different correlation within the same class, which has wider implications for antibiotic stewardship. When compared to other antibiotic classes, lincomycins and β -lactam antibiotics were more strongly associated with AAD, and within the β-lactam antibiotic category, third-generation cephalosporins had a higher risk of causing AAD. Our findings also indicated that female patients and those over 65 years of age had a higher risk of developing AAD. We discussed the time-to-onset and outcomes of AAD induced by antibiotics, providing valuable insights for clinical practice and adverse drug reaction monitoring. Overall, our study offers valuable evidence to inform clinical interventions for the management of AAD caused by antibiotics.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi. org/10.1186/s40360-023-00710-w.

Additional file 1: Table S1. Onset times of AAD associated with each antibiotic. Table S2. Mortality rate for AAD associated with antibiotics.

Acknowledgements

I would like to express my gratitude to all the people who helped me in my research design and article writing. My deepest gratitude goes to all the staff of the Big Data Research Center of the Affiliated Hospital of Jining Medical University, for providing data and help in this article.

Authors' contributions

Conceptualization, HH and SC; Data curation, HH, LL, MW and YZ; Formal Analysis, HH, ZL and JP; Investigation, HH; Methodology, HH and JP; Software, XR; Supervision, SC and JP; Validation, HH and SC; Writing—original draft, HH; Writing—review & editing, HH and SC. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding

This research was funded by the Supporting Fund for Teachers' research of Jining Medical University, grant number "No. JYFC2018FKJ015" and "No. JYFC2019FKJ161", and Key research and development program of Jining, grant number "No. 2022YXNS067".

Availability of data and materials

FDA Adverse Event Reporting System data are available at https://www.fda. gov/drugs/questions-and-answers-fdas-adverse-event-reporting-systemfaers/fda-adverse-event-reporting-system-faers-public-dashboard. The 'Search' tab was then selected, and the remaining information can be found in the Methods section of the manuscript.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests None of the authors has any competing interests.

Author details

¹Department of Clinical Pharmacy, Affiliated Hospital of Jining Medical University, Jining, Shandong, China. ²Data Center, Affiliated Hospital of Jining Medical University, Jining, Shandong, China. ³Department of Pathology, Affiliated Hospital of Jining Medical University, Jining, Shandong, China.

Received: 4 May 2023 Accepted: 17 November 2023 Published online: 04 December 2023

References

- McFarland LV, et al. Comparison of pediatric and adult antibiotic-associated diarrhea and Clostridium difficile infections. World J Gastroenterol. 2016;22(11):3078–104.
- Bartlett JG. Clinical practice. Antibiotic-associated diarrhea N Engl J Med. 2002;346(5):334–9.
- Larcombe S, Hutton ML, Lyras D. Involvement of Bacteria Other Than Clostridium difficile in Antibiotic-Associated Diarrhoea. Trends Microbiol. 2016;24(6):463–76.
- Abad CLR, Safdar N. A Review of Clostridioides difficile Infection and Antibiotic-Associated Diarrhea. Gastroenterol Clin North Am. 2021;50(2):323–40.
- Nasiri MJ, et al. Clostridioides (Clostridium) difficile infection in hospitalized patients with antibiotic-associated diarrhea: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Anaerobe. 2018;50:32–7.
- 6. Janezic S, et al. Highly Divergent Clostridium difficile Strains Isolated from the Environment. PLoS One. 2016;11(11):e0167101.
- Alyousef AA. Clostridium difficile: Epidemiology, Pathogenicity, and an Update on the Limitations of and Challenges in Its Diagnosis. J AOAC Int. 2018;101(4):1119–26.
- Suetens, C., et al. Prevalence of healthcare-associated infections, estimated incidence and composite antimicrobial resistance index in acute care hospitals and long-term care facilities: results from two European point prevalence surveys, 2016 to 2017. Eurosurveillance. 2018; 23(46).
- Lessa F.C, Gould C.V, McDonald L.C. McDonald. Current status of Clostridium difficile infection epidemiology. Clin Infect Dis. 2012;55(Suppl 2):S65-70.
- Liu E.K., Preece J, Suson K.D. Clostridium difficile: What is the risk? J Pediatr Urol. 2022;18(6):785-e1-785 e7.
- Mullish BH, Williams HR. Clostridium difficile infection and antibioticassociated diarrhoea. Clin Med (Lond). 2018;18(3):237–41.
- 12. McFarland LV. Antibiotic-associated diarrhea: epidemiology, trends and treatment. Future Microbiol. 2008;3(5):563–78.
- Loo VG, et al. Host and pathogen factors for Clostridium difficile infection and colonization. N Engl J Med. 2011;365(18):1693–703.
- Goudarzi M, et al. Clostridium difficile Infection: Epidemiology, Pathogenesis, Risk Factors, and Therapeutic Options. Scientifica (Cairo). 2014;2014:916826.
- Leffler DA, Lamont JT. Clostridium difficile infection. N Engl J Med. 2015;372(16):1539–48.

- Czepiel J, et al. Clostridium difficile infection: review. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2019;38(7):1211–21.
- Teng C, et al. Clostridium difficile Infection Risk with Important Antibiotic Classes: An Analysis of the FDA Adverse Event Reporting System. Int J Med Sci. 2019;16(5):630–5.
- Sakaeda T, et al. Data mining of the public version of the FDA Adverse Event Reporting System. Int J Med Sci. 2013;10(7):796–803.
- Peng J, et al. Real-world study of antiresorptive-related osteonecrosis of jaw based on the US food and drug administration adverse event reporting system database. Front Pharmacol. 2022;13:1017391.
- van Puijenbroek EP, et al. A comparison of measures of disproportionality for signal detection in spontaneous reporting systems for adverse drug reactions. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2002;11(1):3–10.
- Hou Y, et al. A comparison of disproportionality analysis methods in national adverse drug reaction databases of China. Expert Opin Drug Saf. 2014;13(7):853–7.
- 22. Al-Yafeai Z, et al. Cardiovascular complications of modern multiple myeloma therapy: A pharmacovigilance study. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2023;89(2):641–8.
- Huang J, et al. Anaplastic lymphoma kinase tyrosine kinase inhibitors associated gastrointestinal obstruction, perforation, and ulceration: an analysis of the FDA adverse event reporting system database (FAERS). Int J Clin Pharm. 2022;44(4):993–1003.
- Liu L, et al. Association between different GLP-1 receptor agonists and gastrointestinal adverse reactions: A real-world disproportionality study based on FDA adverse event reporting system database. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2022;13:1043789.
- Bate A, Evans SJ. Quantitative signal detection using spontaneous ADR reporting. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2009;18(6):427–36.
- Lau CS, Chamberlain RS. Probiotics are effective at preventing Clostridium difficile-associated diarrhea: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Gen Med. 2016;9:27–37.
- Darkoh C. Toxin synthesis by Clostridium difficile is regulated through quorum signaling. mBio. 2015;6(2):e02569.
- Owens RC Jr, et al. Antimicrobial-associated risk factors for Clostridium difficile infection. Clin Infect Dis. 2008;46(Suppl 1):S19-31.
- 29. Alrahmany D, et al. Risk Factors for Recurrence of Clostridioides difficile in Hospitalized Patients. J Infect Public Health. 2021;14(11):1642–9.
- Dubberke ER, et al. Evaluation of Clostridium difficile-associated disease pressure as a risk factor for C difficile-associated disease. Arch Intern Med. 2007;167(10):1092–7.
- Slimings C, Riley TV. Antibiotics and hospital-acquired Clostridium difficile infection: update of systematic review and meta-analysis. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2014;69(4):881–91.
- Viswanathan VK, Mallozzi MJ, Vedantam G. Clostridium difficile infection: An overview of the disease and its pathogenesis, epidemiology and interventions. Gut Microbes. 2010;1(4):234–42.
- Tian CF, et al. Management of antibiotic-associated pseudomembranous colitis in Non-hospitalized and hospitalized patients. Pak J Pharm Sci. 2016;29(5 Suppl):1805–10.
- Zhang Y, et al. Enzyme Inhibitor Antibiotics and Antibiotic-Associated Diarrhea in Critically III Patients. Med Sci Monit. 2018;24:8781–8.
- McFarland LV. Evidence-based review of probiotics for antibioticassociated diarrhea and Clostridium difficile infections. Anaerobe. 2009;15(6):274–80.
- Surawicz C.M, et al. Guidelines for diagnosis, treatment, and prevention of Clostridium difficile infections. Am J Gastroenterol. 2013;108(4):478–98 quiz 499.
- Litao G, et al. Risk Factors for Antibiotic-Associated Diarrhea in Critically III Patients. Med Sci Monit. 2018;24:5000–7.
- Jingjing S, et al. Factors related to antibiotic-associated diarrhea in patients in the intensive care unit receiving antifungals: a single-center retrospective study. J Int Med Res. 2019;47(5):2067–76.
- Martin JS, Monaghan TM, Wilcox MH. Clostridium difficile infection: epidemiology, diagnosis and understanding transmission. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2016;13(4):206–16.
- Walker AS, et al. Characterisation of Clostridium difficile hospital wardbased transmission using extensive epidemiological data and molecular typing. PLoS Med. 2012;9(2): e1001172.

- Bauer KA, et al. Impact of the NAP-1 strain on disease severity, mortality, and recurrence of healthcare-associated Clostridium difficile infection. Anaerobe. 2017;48:1–6.
- Zhao B, et al. Do sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors lead to fracture risk? A pharmacovigilance real-world study. J Diabetes Investig. 2021;12(8):1400–7.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

